


PRESENTERS



Bridget Dingle, Member, Immigration & Protection Tribunal, Auckland

Bridget (LLB, LLM (Hons), BA) began learning about migration law as a young traveller who inadvertently crossed the border into Burma on a hike. She was appointed to the Refugee Status Appeals Authority in 2005 and then to the Tribunal, where she manages the Deportation (Non-Resident) stream of work. Bridget has provided training in refugee/human rights law overseas including for the UNHCR, and decision-makers in South Korea, Hong Kong and the Philippines.



Martha Roche, Member, Immigration & Protection Tribunal, Auckland

Martha graduated with an LLB from Otago University and an LLM from Auckland University. She has worked in the human rights area for many years. In 1999, Martha was appointed to the Refugee Status Appeals Authority and in 2006 was appointed as a member of the Deportation Review Tribunal. She has previously been a Co-Chairperson of the New Zealand Human Rights Tribunal and is currently a senior member of the Immigration and Protection Tribunal. In addition, Martha has worked as a mental health lawyer.

The statements and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This presentation has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. THE 2021-2022 YEAR AND BEYOND	1
2. PROCEDURAL MATTERS	3
<i>Time for lodgement</i>	3
THE RIGHT TO REPRESENTATION	4
LODGING HUMANITARIAN APPEALS WITH REFUGEE AND PROTECTION APPEALS	4
APPLICATIONS FOR “STAY OF APPEAL” WHILE OTHER PROCEEDINGS ARE FINALISED.....	5
3. THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK.....	7
THE STATUTORY TEST FOR DNR APPEALS	7
<i>“Prospective” test and the nature of the appeal</i>	7
THE THREE COMPONENTS OF THE STATUTORY TEST.....	8
4. EVIDENCE AND SUBMISSIONS	11
EVIDENCE AND SUBMISSIONS SHOULD BE ISSUE FOCUSED, SPECIFIC AND PERSONAL	11
5. NATURAL DISASTERS AND FAST-CHANGING CRISES SUCH AS THE COVID PANDEMIC	13
EXAMPLES OF DEPORTATION APPEALS WHERE HAZARDS AND CHANGING CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED	13
CLIMATE CHANGE.....	14
ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.....	15
COVID CASES	16
6. BEST INTERESTS OF CHILDREN AND SEPARATION FROM CAREGIVERS	19
7. OUTCOMES FOR DNR APPEALS	21
ON ALLOWING THE APPEAL.....	21
ON DECLINING AN APPEAL – S 216 “AFFAIRS IN ORDER” VISA.....	21
ON DECLINING AN APPEAL – S 215 REMOVAL OR REDUCTION OF PROHIBITED ENTRY	22
8. DEEMED DEPORTATION.....	23
RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS	23
9. POWERPOINTS.....	25